

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SUMIT CHAUDHARI, and KAVANKUMAR  
PATEL,

Defendants.

**8:25CR70**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on defendant, Kavankumar Patel's Motion for Extension of Time to File Pretrial Motions ([Filing No. 73](#)), and defendant, Sumit Chaudhari's Motion to Extend Deadline to File Pretrial Motions ([Filing No. 74](#)). The motions are unopposed by the government. For good cause shown, the Court finds the motions should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant, Kavankumar Patel's Motion for Extension of Time to File Pretrial Motions ([Filing No. 73](#)), and defendant, Sumit Chaudhari's Motion to Extend Deadline to File Pretrial Motions ([Filing No. 74](#)), are granted.
- 2) The deadline to file pretrial motions is extended to May 13, 2025, as to defendants Kavankumar Patel and Sumit Chaudhari.
- 3) In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the Court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting these motions and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of these motions, that is, the time between April 15, 2025, and May 13, 2025, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant these motions would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and the novelty and complexity of the case. The failure to grant this motion might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

s/Michael D. Nelson  
United States Magistrate Judge